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Abstract—This paper presents a novel approach to automatic transcription of piano music in a context-dependent setting. This approach employs convolutional sparse coding to approximate the music waveform as the summation of piano note waveforms (dictionary elements) convolved with their temporal activations (onset transcription). The piano note waveforms are pre-recorded for the specific piano to be transcribed in the specific environment. During transcription, the note waveforms are fixed and their temporal activations are estimated and post-processed to obtain the pitch and onset transcription. This approach works in the time domain, models temporal evolution of piano notes, and estimates pitches and onsets simultaneously in the same framework. Experiments show that it significantly outperforms a state-of-the-art music transcription method trained in the same context-dependent setting, in both transcription accuracy and time precision, in various scenarios including synthetic, anechoic, noisy, and reverberant environments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

AUTOMATIC music transcription (AMT) is the process of automatically inferring a high-level symbolic representation, such as music notation or piano-roll, from a music performance [1]. It has several applications in music education (e.g., providing feedback to a piano learner), content-based music search (e.g., searching songs with a similar bassline), and musicological analysis of non-notated music (e.g., Jazz improvisations and most non-Western music), and music enjoyment (e.g., visualizing the music content).

Music transcription of polyphonic music is a challenging task even for humans. It is related to ear training, a required course for professional musicians on identifying pitches, intervals, chords, melodies, rhythms, and instruments of music solely by hearing. AMT for polyphonic music was first proposed in 1977 by Moorer [2], and Piszczalski and Galler [3]. Despite almost four decades of active research, it is still an open problem and current AMT systems cannot match human performance in either accuracy or robustness [1].

A core problem of music transcription is figuring out which notes are played and when they are played in a piece of music. This is also called note-level transcription [4]. A note produced by a pitched musical instrument has five basic attributes: pitch, onset, offset, timbre and dynamic. Pitch is a perceptual attribute but can be reliably related to the fundamental frequency (F0) of a harmonic or quasi-harmonic sound [5]. Onset refers to the beginning time of a note, in which the amplitude of that note instance increases from zero to an audible level. This increase is very sharp for percussive pitched instruments such as piano. Offset refers to the ending time of a note, i.e., when the waveform of the note vanishes. Compared to pitch and onset, offset is often ambiguous [4]. Timbre is the quality of a sound that allows listeners to distinguish two sounds of the same pitch and loudness [5]. Dynamic refers to the player’s control over the loudness of the sound; e.g., a piano player can strike a key with different forces, causing notes to be soft or loud. The dynamic can also change the timbre of a note; e.g., on a piano, notes played forte have a richer spectral content than notes played piano [6]. In this paper we focus on pitch estimation and onset detection of notes from polyphonic piano performances.

In the literature, these two problems are often addressed separately and then combined to achieve note-level transcription (see Section II). For onset detection, commonly used methods are based on spectral energy changes in successive frames [7]. They do not model the harmonic relation of frequencies that exhibit this change, nor the temporal evolution of partial energy of notes. Therefore, they tend to miss onsets of soft notes in polyphonic pieces and to detect false positives due to local partial amplitude fluctuations caused by overlapping harmonics, reverberation or beats [8].

Pitch estimation in monophonic music is considered a solved problem [9]. In contrast, polyphonic pitch estimation is much more challenging because of the complex interaction (e.g., the overlapping harmonics) of multiple simultaneous notes. To properly identify all the concurrent pitches, the partials of the mixture must be separated and grouped into clusters belonging to different notes. Most multi-pitch analysis methods operate in the frequency domain with a time-frequency magnitude representation [1]. This approach has two fundamental limitations: it introduces the time-frequency resolution trade-off due to the Gabor limit [10], and it discards the phase, which contains useful cues for the harmonic fusing of partials [5]. Current state-of-the-art results are below 70% in F-measure, which is too low for practical purposes, as evaluated by MIREX 2015 on orchestral pieces with up to 5 instruments and piano pieces [11].

In this paper, we propose a novel time-domain approach to transcribe polyphonic piano performances at the note-level. More specifically, we model the piano audio waveform as a convolution of note waveforms (i.e., dictionary templates) and...
their activation weights (i.e., transcription of note onsets). We pre-learn the dictionary by recording the audio waveform of each note of the piano, and then employ a recently proposed efficient convolutional sparse coding algorithm to estimate the activations. Compared to current state-of-the-art AMT approaches, the proposed method has the following advantages:

- The transcription is performed in the time domain and avoids the time-frequency resolution trade-off by imposing structural constraints on the analyzed signal – i.e., a context specific dictionary and sparsity on the atom activations – resulting in better performance, especially for low-pitched notes;
- It models temporal evolution of piano notes and estimates pitch and onset simultaneously in the same framework;
- It achieves much higher transcription accuracy and time precision compared to a state-of-the-art AMT approach;
- It works in reverberant environments and is robust to stationary noise to a certain degree.

One important limitation of the proposed approach is that it only works in a context-dependent setting, i.e., the dictionary needs to be trained for each specific piano and acoustic environment. While transcription of professionally recorded performances is not possible, as the training data is not generally available, the method is still useful for musicians, both professionals and amateurs, to transcribe their performances with much higher accuracy than state-of-the-art approaches. In fact, the training process takes less than 3 minutes to record all 88 notes of a piano (each played for about 1 second). In most scenarios, such as piano practices at home or in a studio, the acoustic environment of the piano does not change, i.e., the piano is not moved and the recording device, such as a smartphone, can be placed in the same spot, and the trained dictionary can be re-used. Even for a piano concert in a new acoustic environment, taking 3 minutes to train the dictionary in addition to stage setup is acceptable for highly accurate transcription of the performance throughout the concert.

A preliminary version of the proposed approach has been presented in [12]. In this paper, we describe this approach in more detail, conduct systematic experiments to evaluate its key parameters, and show its superior performance against a state-of-the-art method in various conditions. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II reviews note-level AMT approaches and puts the proposed approach in context. Section III reviews the basics of convolutional sparse coding and its efficient implementation. Section IV describes the proposed approach and Section V conducts experiments. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

There are in general three approaches to note-level music transcription. Frame-based approaches estimate pitches in each individual time frame and then form notes in a post-processing stage. Onset-based approaches first detect onsets and then estimate pitches within each inter-onset interval. Note-based approaches estimate notes including pitches and onsets directly. The proposed method uses the third approach. In the following, we will review methods of all these approaches and discuss their advantages and limitations.

A. Frame-based Approach

Frame-level multi-pitch estimation (MPE) is the key component of this approach. The majority of recently proposed MPE methods operate in the frequency domain. One group of methods analyze or classify features extracted from the time-frequency representation of the audio input [1]. Raphael [13] used a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) in which the states represent pitch combinations and the observations are spectral features, such as energy, spectral flux, and mean and variance of each frequency band. Klapuris [14] used an iterative spectral subtraction approach to estimate a predominant pitch and subtract its harmonics from the mixture in each iteration. Yeh et al. [15] jointly estimated pitches based on three physical principles – harmonicity, spectral smoothness and synchronous amplitude evolution. More recently, Dressler [16] used a multi-resolution Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) in which the magnitude of each bin is weighted by the bin’s instantaneous frequency. The pitch estimation is done by detecting peaks in the weighted spectrum and scoring them by harmonicity, spectral smoothness, presence of intermediate peaks and harmonic number. Poliner and Ellis [17] used Support Vector Machines (SVM) to classify the presence of pitches from the audio spectrum. Pertusa and FieSTA [18] identified pitch candidates from spectral analysis of each frame, then selected the best combinations by applying a set of rules based on harmonic amplitudes and spectral smoothness. Saito et al. [19] applied a spectrum analysis by assuming a common harmonic structure of all the pitches in each frame. Finally, methods based on deep neural networks are beginning to appear [20]–[23].

Another group of MPE methods are based on statistical frameworks. Goto [24] viewed the mixture spectrum as a probability distribution and modeled it with a mixture of tied-Gaussian mixture models. Duan et al. [25] and Emiya et al. [26] proposed Maximum-Likelihood (ML) approaches to model spectral peaks and non-peak regions of the spectrum. Peeling and Godsell [27] used non-homogenous Poisson processes to model the number of partials in the spectrum.

A popular group of MPE methods in recent years are based on spectrogram factorization techniques, such as Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) [28] or Probabilistic Latent Component Analysis (PLCA) [29]; the two methods are mathematically equivalent when the approximation is measured by Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence. The first application of spectrogram factorization techniques to AMT was performed by Smaragdis and Brown [30]. Since then, many extensions and improvements have been proposed. Grindlay et al. [31] used the notion of eigeninstruments to model spectral templates as a linear combination of basic instrument models. Benetos et al. [32] extended PLCA by incorporating shifting across log-frequency to account for vibrato, i.e., frequency modulation. Abdallah et al. [33] imposed sparsity on the activation weights. O’Hanlon et al. [34], [35] used structured sparsity, also called group sparsity, to enforce harmonicity of the spectral bases.

Time domain methods are far less common than frequency domain methods for multi-pitch estimation. Early AMT meth-
ods operating in the time domain attempted to simulate the human auditory system with bandpass filters and autocorrelations [36], [37]. More recently, other researchers proposed time-domain probabilistic approaches based on Bayesian models [38]–[40]. Bello et al. [41] proposed a hybrid approach exploiting both frequency and time-domain information. More recently, Su and Yang [42] also combined information from spectral (harmonic series) and temporal (subharmonic series) representations.

The closest work in the literature to our approach was proposed by Plumbley et al. [43]. In that paper, the authors proposed and compared two approaches for sparse decomposition of polyphonic music, one in the time domain and the other in the frequency domain. The time domain approach adopted a similar shift-invariant (i.e., convolutional) sparse coding formulation to ours. However, they used an unsupervised approach and a complete transcription system was not demonstrated due to the necessity of manual annotation of atoms. The correct number of individual pitches in the piece was also required in their approach. In addition, the sparse coding was performed in 256-ms long windows using 128-ms long atoms, thus not modeling the temporal evolution of notes. As we will show in Section V-A, this length is not sufficient to achieve good accuracy in transcription. Furthermore, the system was only evaluated on very short music excerpts, possibly because of the high computational requirements.

To obtain a note-level transcription from frame-level pitch estimates, a post-processing step, such as a median filter [42] or an HMM [44], is often employed to connect pitch estimates across frames into notes and remove isolated spurious pitches. These operations are performed on each note independently. To consider interactions of simultaneous notes, Duan and Temperley [45] proposed a maximum likelihood sampling approach to refine note-level transcription results.

B. Onset-based Approach

In onset-based approaches, a separate onset detection stage is used during the transcription process. This approach is often adopted for transcribing piano music, given the relative prominence of onsets compared to other types of instruments. SONIC, a piano music transcription by Marolt et al., used an onset detection stage to refine the results of neural network classifiers [46]. Costantini et al. [47] proposed a piano music transcription method with an initial onset detection stage to detect note onsets; a single CQT window of the 64 ms following the note attack is used to estimate the pitches with a multi-class SVM classification. Cogliati and Duan [48] proposed a piano music transcription method with an initial onset detection stage followed by a greedy search algorithm to estimate the pitches between two successive onsets. This method models the entire temporal evolution of piano notes.

C. Note-based Approach

Note-based approaches combine the estimation of pitches and onsets (and possibly offsets) into a single framework. While this increases the complexity of the model, it has the benefit of integrating the pitch information and the onset information for both tasks. As an extension to Goto’s statistical method [24], Kameoka et al. [49] used so-called harmonic temporal structured clustering to jointly estimate pitches, onsets, offsets and dynamics. Berg-Kirkpatrick et al. [50] combined an NMF-like approach in which each note is modeled by a spectral profile and an activation envelope with a two-state HMM to estimate play and rest states. Ewert et al. [51] modeled each note as a series of states, each state being a log-magnitude frame, and used a greedy algorithm to estimate the activations of the states. In this paper, we propose a note-based approach to simultaneously estimate pitches and onsets within a convolutional sparse coding framework. A preliminary version of this work was published in [12].

III. BACKGROUND

In this section, we present the background material for convolutional sparse coding and its recently proposed efficient algorithm to prepare the reader for its application to automatic music transcription in Section IV.

A. Convolutional Sparse Coding

Sparse coding – the inverse problem of sparse representation of a particular signal – has been approached in several ways. One of the most widely used is Basis Pursuit DeNoising (BPDN) [52]:

$$\arg \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Dx - s\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1,$$

(1)

where $s$ is a signal to approximate, $D$ is a dictionary matrix, $x$ is the vector of activations of dictionary elements, and $\lambda$ is a regularization parameter controlling the sparsity of $x$.

Convolutional Sparse Coding (CSC), also called shift-invariant sparse coding, extends the idea of sparse representation by using convolution instead of multiplication. Replacing the multiplication operator with convolution in Eq. (1) we obtain Convolutional Basis Pursuit DeNoising (CBPDN) [53]:

$$\arg \min_{\{x_m\}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_m d_m \ast x_m - s \right\|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_m \|x_m\|_1,$$

(2)

where $\{d_m\}$ is a set of dictionary elements, also called filters; $\{x_m\}$ is a set of activations, also called coefficient maps; and $\lambda$ controls the sparsity penalty on the coefficient maps $x_m$. Higher values of $\lambda$ lead to sparser coefficient maps and lower fidelity approximation to the signal $s$.

CSC has been widely applied to various image processing problems, including classification, reconstruction, denoising and coding [54]. In the audio domain, $s$ represents the audio waveform for analysis, $\{d_m\}$ represents a set of audio atoms, and $\{x_m\}$ represents their activations. Its applications to audio signals include music representations [43], [55] and audio classification [56]. However, its adoption has been limited by its computational complexity in favor of faster factorization techniques, such as NMF or PLCA.

CSC is computationally very expensive, due to the presence of the convolution operator. A straightforward implementation in the time-domain [57] has a complexity of $O(M^2N^2L)$,
where \( M \) is the number of atoms in the dictionary, \( N \) is the size of the signal and \( L \) is the length of the atoms.

**B. Efficient Convolutional Sparse Coding**

An efficient algorithm for CSC has recently been proposed \([54], [58]\). This algorithm is based on the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) for convex optimization \([59]\). The algorithm iterates over updates on three sets of variables. One of these updates is trivial, and the other can be computed in closed form with low computational cost. The additional update consists of a computationally expensive optimization due to the presence of the convolution operator. A natural way to reduce the computational complexity of convolution is to use the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), as proposed by Bristow et al. \([60]\) with a computational complexity of \( O(M^3N) \). The computational cost of this subproblem has been further reduced to \( O(MN) \) by exploiting the particular structure of the linear systems resulting from the transformation into the spectral domain \([54], [58]\). The overall complexity of the resulting algorithm is \( O(MN \log N) \) since it is dominated by the cost of FFTs. The complexity does not depend on the length of the atoms \( L \) as the atoms are zero-padded to the length of the signal \( N \).

**IV. PROPOSED METHOD**

In this section, we describe how we model the piano transcription problem as a convolutional sparse coding problem in the time domain, and how we apply the efficient CSC algorithm \([54], [58]\) to solve the problem.

**A. Transcription process**

The whole transcription process is illustrated with an example in Fig. 1. Taking a monaural, polyphonic piano audio recording \( s(t) \) as input (Fig. 1(b)), we approximate it with a sum of dictionary elements \( d_m(t) \), representing a typical, amplitude-normalized waveform of each individual pitch of the piano, convolved with their activation vectors \( x_m(t) \):

\[
s(t) \approx \sum_m d_m(t) * x_m(t). \tag{3}
\]

The dictionary elements \( d_m(t) \) are pre-set by sampling all the individual notes of a piano (see Section IV-A1) and are fixed during transcription. The activations \( x_m(t) \) are estimated using the efficient convolutional sparse coding algorithm \([54], [58]\). Note that the model is based on an assumption that the waveforms of the same pitch do not vary much with dynamic and duration. This assumption seems to be over-simplified, yet we will show that it is effective in the experiments. We will also discuss its limitations and how to improve the model in Section IV-B. Ideally, these activation vectors are impulse trains, with each impulse indicating the onset of the corresponding note at a certain time. In practice, the estimated activations contain some noise (Fig. 1(c)). After post-processing, however, they look like impulse trains (Fig. 1(d)), and recover the underlying ground-truth note-level transcription of the piece (Fig. 1(a)). Details of these steps are explained below.
1) Training: The dictionary elements are pre-learned in a supervised manner by sampling each individual note of a piano at a certain dynamic level, e.g., forte, for 1 s. We used a sampling frequency of 11,025 Hz to reduce the computational workload during the experiments. The length was selected by a parameter search (see Section V-A). The choice of the dynamic level is not critical, even though we observed that louder dynamics produce better results than softer dynamics.

2) Convolutional sparse coding: The activation vectors are estimated from the audio signal using an open source implementation [61] of the efficient convolutional sparse coding algorithm described in Section III-B. The sampling frequency of the audio mixture to be transcribed must match the sampling frequency used for the training stage, so we downsampled the audio mixtures to 11,025 Hz. As described in Section V-A, we investigated the dependency of the performance on the parameter $\lambda$ on an acoustic piano dataset and selected the best value, $\lambda = 0.005$. We then used the same value for all experiments covering synthetic, anechoic, noisy and reverberant scenarios. We used 500 iterations in our experiments, even though we observed that the algorithm usually converges after approximately 200 iterations.

The result of this step is a set of raw activation vectors, which can be noisy due to the mismatch between the atoms in the dictionary and notes in the audio mixture (see Fig. 1 (c)). Note that no non-negativity constraints are applied in the formulation, so the activations can contain negative values. Negative activations can appear in order to correct mismatches in loudness and duration between the dictionary element and the actual note in the sound mixture. However, because the waveform of each note is quite consistent across different instances (see Section IV-B), the strongest activations are generally positive.

3) Post-processing: We perform peak picking by detecting local maxima from the raw activation vectors to infer note onsets. However, because the activations are noisy, multiple closely located peaks are often detected from the activation of one note. To deal with this problem, we only keep the earliest peak within a 50 ms window and discard the others. This enforces local sparsity of each activation vector. We choose 50 ms because it represents a realistic limit on how fast a performer can play the same note repeatedly. In fact, Fig. 2 shows the distribution of the time intervals between two consecutive activations of the same note in the ENSTDkCl collection of the MAPS dataset [26]. No interval is shorter than 50 ms.

4) Binarization: The resulting peaks are also binarized to keep only peaks that are higher than 10% of the highest peak in the entire activation matrix. This step is necessary to reduce ghost notes, i.e., false positives, and to increase the precision of the transcription. The value was chosen by comparing the RMS of each note played forte with the RMS of the corresponding note played piano in the isolated note collection of MAPS (ENSTDkCl set). The average ratio is 6.96, with most of the ratios below 10. This threshold is not tuned and is kept fixed throughout our experiments.

Fig. 2. Distribution of the time intervals between two consecutive activations of the same note in the ENSTDkCl collection of the MAPS dataset [26]. The distribution has been truncated to 0.5 s for visualization.

B. Discussion

The proposed model is based on the assumption that the waveform of a note of the piano is consistent when the note is played at different times at the same dynamic. This assumption is valid, thanks to the mechanism of piano note production [6]. Each piano key is associated with a hammer, one to three strings, and a damper that touches the string(s) by default. When the key is pressed, the hammer strikes the string(s) while the damper is raised from the string(s). The string(s) vibrate freely to produce the note waveform until the damper returns to the string(s), when the key is released. The frequency of the note is determined by the string(s); it is stable and cannot be changed by the performer (e.g., vibrato is impossible). The loudness of the note is determined by the velocity of the hammer strike, which is affected by how hard the key is pressed. The force applied to the key is the only control that the player has over the onset articulation. Modern pianos generally have three foot pedals: sustain, sostenuto, and soft pedals; some models omit the sostenuto pedal. The sustain pedal is commonly used. When it is pressed, all dampers of all notes are released from all strings, regardless whether a key is pressed or released. Therefore, its usage only affects the offset of a note, if we ignore the sympathetic vibration of strings across notes.

Fig. 3 shows the waveforms of four different instances of the C4 note played on an acoustic piano at two dynamic levels. We can see that the three $f$ notes are very similar, even in the transient region of the initial 20 ms. The waveform of the the $mf$ note is slightly different, but still resembles the other waveforms after applying a global scaling factor. Our assumption is that softer dynamics excite fewer modes in the vibration of the strings, resulting in less rich spectral content compared to louder dynamics. However, because the spectral envelope of piano notes is monotonically decreasing, higher partials have less energy compared to lower partials, so softer notes can still be approximated with notes played at louder dynamics. To prove the last assertion, we compared an instance of a C4 note played forte with different instances of the same
pitch played at different dynamics and also with different pitches. As we can see from Table I, different instances of the same pitch are highly correlated, regardless of the dynamic, while the correlation between different pitches is low.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4 f #1</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 f #2</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 f #3</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 mf #1</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 mf #2</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 mf #3</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 p #1</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 p #2</td>
<td>0.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 p #3</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 f #1</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 f #2</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 f #3</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 f #1</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 f #2</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 f #1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 f #2</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Pearson correlation coefficients of a single C4 note played forte with the same pitch played at different dynamic levels and with different pitches. Values shown are the maxima in absolute value over all the possible alignments.

We conduct experiments to answer two questions: (1) How sensitive is the proposed method to key parameters such as the sparsity parameter $\lambda$, and the length and loudness of the dictionary elements? (2) How does the proposed method compare with state-of-the-art piano transcription methods in different settings such as anechoic, noisy, and reverberant environments?

For the experiments we used three different datasets: the ENSTDkCl (close-mic acoustic recordings) and the SptkBGCl (synthetic recordings) collections from the MAPS dataset [26], and another synthetic dataset we created specially for this paper, using MIDI files in the ENSTDkCl collection. We will call this dataset ENSTGaSt.

The ENSTDkCl dataset is used to validate the proposed method in a realistic scenario. This collection contains 30 pieces of different styles and genres generated from high quality MIDI files that were manually edited to achieve realistic and expressive performances. The MIDI files will be used as the ground-truth for the transcription. The pieces were played on a Disklavier, which is an acoustic piano with mechanical actuators that can be controlled via MIDI input, and recorded in a close microphone setting to minimize the effects of reverb. The SptkBGCl dataset uses a virtual piano, the Steinway D from The Black Grand by Sampletek. For both datasets, MAPS also provides the 88 isolated notes, each 1 s long, played at three different dynamics: piano (MIDI velocity 29), mezzo-forte (MIDI velocity 57) and forte (MIDI velocity 104). We always use the forte templates for all the experiments, except for the experiment investigating the effect of the dynamic level of the dictionary atoms. The synthetic dataset is also useful to set a baseline of the performance in an ideal scenario, i.e., absence of noise and reverb.

The ENSTGaSt dataset was created to investigate the dependency of the proposed method on the length of the dictionary elements, as note templates provided in MAPS are only 1 s long. The dataset was also used to verify some alignment issues that we discovered in the ground truth transcriptions of the ENSTDkCl and SptkBGCl collections of MAPS. The ENSTGaSt dataset was created from the same 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl dataset and re-rendered from the MIDI files using a digital audio workstation (Logic Pro 9) with a sampled virtual piano plug-in (Steinway Concert Grand Piano from the
Garritan Personal Orchestra); no reverb was used at any stage. The details of the synthesis model, i.e., the number of different samples per pitch and the scaling of the samples with respect to the MIDI velocity, are not publicly available. To gain some insight on the synthesis model we generated 127 different instances of the same pitch, i.e., C4, one for each value of the valid MIDI velocities, each 1 s long. We then compared the instances with cross correlation and determined that the virtual instrument uses 4 different samples per pitch, and that the amplitude of each sample is exponentially scaled based on the MIDI velocity. To ensure the replicability of this set of experiments, the dataset is available on the first author’s website.

We use F-measure to evaluate the note-level transcription [4]. It is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall, where precision is defined as the percentage of correctly transcribed notes among all transcribed notes, and recall is defined as the percentage of correctly transcribed notes among all ground-truth notes. A note is considered correctly transcribed if its estimated discretized pitch is the same as a reference note in the ground-truth and the estimated onset is within a given tolerance value (e.g., ± 50 ms) of the reference note. We do not consider offsets in deciding the correctness.

A. Parameter Dependency

To investigate the dependency of the performance on the parameter $\lambda$, we performed a grid search with values of $\lambda$ logarithmically spaced from 0.4 to 0.0004 on the ENSTDkCl collection in the MAPS dataset [26]. The dictionary elements were 1 s long. The results are shown in Fig. 4. As we can observe from Fig. 4, the method is not very sensitive to the value of $\lambda$. For a wide range of values, from 0.0004 to about 0.03, the average F-measure is always above 80%.

![Fig. 4. Average F-measure on the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection (close-mic acoustic recordings) of the MAPS dataset for different values of $\lambda$, using 1 s long atoms.](image1)

Finally, we investigated the effect of the dynamic level of the dictionary atoms, using the ENSTDkCl collection. In general we found the proposed method to be very robust to differences in dynamic levels, but we obtained better results when louder dynamics were used during training. A possible explanation can be seen in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. In Fig. 6 we transcribed a signal consisting of a single C4 note played piano with a dictionary of forte notes. The second most active note shows strong negative activations, which do not influence the transcription, as we only consider positive peaks. The negative activations might be due to the partials with greater amplitude contained in the forte dictionary element but not present in the piano note; i.e., CSC tries to achieve a better reconstruction by subtracting some frequency content. On the other side, in Fig. 7 we tested the opposite scenario, a single C4 note reconstructed forte with a dictionary of piano notes. The second most active note shows both positive and negative activations; positive activations might potentially lead to false positives. In this case, the forte note contains some spectral content not present in the piano template, so CSC improves the signal reconstruction by adding other note templates. Negative activations also appear when there is a mismatch between the length of a note in the audio signal and the length of the dictionary element. Using multiple templates per pitch, with different dynamics and different lengths, might reduce the occurrence of negative activations at the expense of increased computational time.

![Fig. 5. Average F-measure on the 30 pieces in the ENSTGaSt dataset versus dictionary atom length, with $\lambda$ fixed at 0.005.](image2)
Fig. 6. Raw activations of the two most active note templates when transcribing a piano C4 note with 88 forte note templates. Note that the activation of the wrong note template is mostly negative.

Fig. 7. Raw activations of the two most active note templates when transcribing a forte C4 note with 88 piano note templates. Note that the activation of the wrong note template contains a strong positive portion, which may lead to false positives in the final transcription.

B. Comparison to State of the Art

We compared our method with a state-of-the-art AMT method proposed by Benetos and Dixon [32], which was submitted for evaluation to MIREX 2013 as BW3 [63]. The method will be referred to as BW3-MIREX13. This method is based on probabilistic latent component analysis of a log-spectrogram energy and uses pre-extracted note templates from isolated notes. The templates are also pre-shifted along the log-frequency in order to support vibrato and frequency deviations, which are not an issue for piano music in the considered scenario. The method is frame-based and does not model the temporal evolution of notes. To make a fair comparison, dictionary templates of both BW3-MIREX13 and the proposed method were learned on individual notes of the piano that was used for the test pieces. We used the implementation provided by the author along with the provided parameters, with the only exception of the hop size, which was reduced to 5 ms to test the onset detection accuracy.

1) Anechoic Settings: For this set of experiments we tested multiple onset tolerance values to show the highest onset precision achieved by the proposed method. The dictionary elements were 1 s long. We used the forte templates. The sparsity parameter $\lambda$ was fixed at 0.005. The results are shown in Figs. 8-10. From the figures, we can notice that the proposed method outperforms BW3-MIREX13 by at least 20% in median F-measure for onset tolerance of 50 ms and 25 ms (50 ms is the standard onset tolerance used in MIREX [4]). When using dictionary elements played at piano dynamic, the median F-measure on the ENSTDkCl collection of the MAPS dataset drops to 70% (onset tolerance set at 50 ms). In the experiment with the ENSTGaSt dataset, shown in Fig. 8, the proposed method exhibits consistent accuracy of over 90% regardless of the onset tolerance, while the performance of BW3-MIREX13 degrades quickly as the tolerance decreases under 50 ms. The proposed method maintains a median F-measure of 90% even with an onset tolerance of 5 ms. In the experiment on acoustic piano, both the proposed method and BW3-MIREX13 show a degradation of the performances with small tolerance values of 10 ms and 5 ms.

Fig. 8. F-measure for 30 pieces in the ENSTGaSt dataset (synthetic recordings). Each box contains 30 data points.

Fig. 9. F-measure for 30 pieces in the SptkBGC1 dataset (synthetic recordings). Each box contains 30 data points.
The degradation of performance on ENSTDkCl and Sp-tkBgCl with small tolerance values, especially the increased support in the distribution of F-measure at 10 ms and 5 ms, drove us to further inspect the algorithm and the ground truth. We noticed that the audio and the ground truth transcription in the MAPS database are in fact not consistently lined up, i.e., different pieces show a different delay between the activation of the note in the MIDI file and the corresponding onset in the audio file. Fig. 11 shows two files from the ENSTDkCl collection of MAPS. Fig. 11(b) shows a good alignment between the audio and MIDI onsets, but in Fig. 11(a) the MIDI onsets occur 15 ms earlier than audio onsets. This inconsistency may be responsible for the poor results with small tolerance values.

To test this hypothesis we re-aligned the ground truth with the audio by picking the mode of the onset differences for the correctly identified notes by the proposed method per piece. With the aligned ground truth, the results on the SptkBgcCl dataset for 10 ms of tolerance are similar to the ones on the ENSTGaSt dataset; for 5 ms, the minimum F-measure is increased to 52.7% and the median is increased to 80.2%. On the ENSTDkCl dataset, the proposed method increases the median F-measure by about 15% at 10 ms and 5 ms. It might be argued that the improvement might be due to a systematic timing bias in the proposed method. However, as shown in Fig. 8, the transcription performance of the proposed method on the ENSTGaSt dataset does not show clear degradation when the onset tolerance becomes smaller. This suggests that there are some alignment problems between the audio and ground-truth MIDI transcription in the Sp-tkBgCl and ENSTDkClCl collections of MAPS. This potential misalignment issue only becomes prominent when evaluating transcription methods with small onset tolerance values, which are rarely used in the literature. Therefore, we believe that this issue requires additional investigations from the research community before our modified ground-truth can be accepted as the correct one. We thus make the modified ground-truth public on the first author’s website, but still use the original non-modified ground truth in all experiments in this paper.

2) Robustness to Pitch Range and Polyphony: Fig. 12 compares the average F-measure achieved by the two methods along the different octaves of a piano keyboard. The figure clearly shows that the results of BW3-MIREX13 depend on the fundamental frequencies of the notes; the results are very poor for the first two octaves, and increase monotonically for higher octaves, except for the highest octave, which is not statistically significant. The proposed method shows a more balanced distribution. This suggests the advantage of our time-domain approach in avoiding the time-frequency resolution trade-off. We do not claim that operating in the time domain automatically overcomes the time-frequency trade-off, and explain the high accuracy of the proposed method as follows. Each dictionary atom contains multiple partials spanning a wide spectral range, and the relative phase and magnitude of the partials for a given note have low variability across instances of that pitch. This, together with the sparsity penalty, which limits the model complexity, allows for good performance without violating the fundamental time-frequency resolution limitations.

The proposed algorithm is less sensitive to the polyphony of the pieces compared to BW3-MIREX13. For each piece in the ENSTDkCl collection of MAPS we calculated the average
polyphony by sampling the number of concurrently sounding notes every 50 ms. The results are shown in Fig. 13. BW3-MIREX13 shows a pronounced degradation in performance for denser polyphony, while the proposed method only shows minimal degradation.

3) Robustness to Noise: In this section, we investigate the robustness of the proposed method to noise, and compare the results with BW3-MIREX13. We used the original noiseless dictionary elements with length of 1 second and tested both white and pink additive noisy versions of the ENSTDkCl collection of MAPS. White and pink noises can represent typical background noises (e.g., air conditioning) in houses or practice rooms. We used the same parameter settings: $\lambda = 0.005$ and 1 s long, *forte* templates. The results are shown in Fig. 15 and Fig. 16. As we can notice from the plots, the proposed method shows great robustness to white noise, even at very low SNRs, always having a definite advantage over BW3-MIREX13. The proposed method consistently outperforms BW3-MIREX13 by about $20\%$ in median F-measure, regardless of the level of noise. The proposed method is also very tolerant to pink noise and outperforms BW3-MIREX13 with low and medium levels of noise, up to an SNR of $5\, \text{dB}$.  

4) Robustness to Reverberation: In the third set of experiments we tested the performance of the proposed method in the presence of reverberation. Reverberation exists in nearly all real-world performing and recording environments, however, few systems have been designed and evaluated in reverberant environments in the literature. Reverberation is not even mentioned in recent surveys [1], [64]. We used a real impulse response of an untreated recording space$^2$ with an RT60 of

---

$^2$WNIU Studio Untreated from the Open AIR Library http://www.openairlib.net/auralizationdb/content/wniu-studio-untreated
Fig. 15. F-measure for the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection (close-mic acoustic recordings) of MAPS with white noise at different SNR levels. Each box contains 30 data points.

Fig. 16. F-measure for the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection (close-mic acoustic recordings) of MAPS with pink noise at different SNR levels. Each box contains 30 data points.

Fig. 17. F-measure for the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection (close-mic acoustic recordings) of MAPS with reverb. Each box contains 30 data points.

about 2.5 s, and convolved it with both the dictionary elements and the audio files. The results are shown in Fig. 17. As we can notice, the median F-measure is reduced by about 3% for the proposed method in presence of reverb, showing a high robustness to reverb. The performance of BW3-MIREX13, however, degrades significantly, even though it was trained on the same reverberant piano notes. This further shows the advantage of the proposed method in real acoustic environments.

5) Sensitivity to Environment Mismatch: To illustrate the sensitivity of the method to the acoustic environment, we generated two synthetic impulse responses with RIR Generator [65], one with RT60 equal to 500 ms and the other with RT60 equal to 250 ms. These two values were picked to simulate an empty concert hall, and the same hall with an audience, whose presence reduces the reverberation time by adding absorption to the acoustic environment. We applied the longer impulse response to the dictionary and the shorter one to the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection of MAPS. The median F-measure for the experiment decreases from 82.7%, as in Fig. 10, to 75.2%. It should be noted that this is an extreme scenario, as a typical application would use a close mic setup, reducing the influence of the room acoustics.

6) Runtime: We ran all the experiments on an iMac equipped with a 3.2 GHz Intel Core i5 processor and 16 GB of memory. The code was implemented in MATLAB. For the 30 pieces in the ENSTDkCl collection of MAPS, the median runtime was 174 s, with a maximum of 186 s. Considering that we transcribed the first 30 s of each piece, the entire process takes about 5.9 times the length of the signal to be transcribed. Initial experiments with GPU implementation of the CSC algorithm show an average speedup of 10 times with respect to the CPU implementation.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented an automatic music transcription algorithm based on convolutional sparse coding in the time-domain. The proposed algorithm consistently outperforms a state-of-the-art algorithm trained in the same scenario in all synthetic, anechoic, noisy, and reverberant settings, except for the case of pink noise at 0 dB SNR. The proposed method achieves high transcription accuracy and time precision in a variety of different scenarios, and is highly robust to moderate amounts of noise. It is also highly insensitive to reverb, as long as the training session is performed in the same environment used for recording the audio to be transcribed. However, a limited generalization to a different room acoustic has been shown in the experiments.

While in this specific context the proposed method is clearly superior to the state-of-the-art algorithm used for comparison (BW3-MIREX13 [32]), it must be noted that our method
cannot, at the moment, generalize to different contexts. In particular, it cannot transcribe performances played on different pianos not used for the training. Preliminary experiments with transcribing the ENSTDkCl dataset using the dictionary from the SptkBGCl dataset show a dramatic drop in precision resulting in an average F-measure of 16.9%; average recall remains relatively high at 64.7%. BW3-MIREX13 and, typically, other spectral domain-based methods are capable of being trained on multiple instruments and generalize to different instruments of the same kind. Nonetheless, the proposed context-dependent approach is useful in many realistic scenarios, considering that pianos are usually fixed in homes or studios. Moreover, the training procedure is simple and fast, in case the context changes. Future research is needed to adapt the dictionary to different pianos.

The proposed method cannot estimate note offsets or dynamics, even though the amplitude of the raw activations (before binarization) is proportional to the loudness of the estimated notes. A dictionary containing notes of different lengths and different dynamics could be used in order to estimate those two additional parameters, even though group sparsity constraints should probably be introduced in order to avoid concurrent activations of multiple templates for the same pitch.

Another interesting future research direction is to evaluate the model on other percussive and plucked pitched instruments, such as harpsichord, marimba, bells and carillon, given the consistent nature of their notes and the model’s ability to capture temporal evolution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Dr. Emmanouil Benetos for kindly providing the code of his transcription system to compare the performance. We also thank the three anonymous reviewers for their thorough and constructive comments.

REFERENCES

IEEE/ACM TRANSACTIONS ON AUDIO, SPEECH, AND LANGUAGE PROCESSING 13


Andrea Cogliati is a Ph.D. candidate in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering of the University of Rochester. He is working in the AIR Lab under the supervision of Dr. Zhiyao Duan. After his B.S. (Laurea) and M.S. (Diploma) in Mathematics from University of Pisa and Scuola Normale Superiore in Italy, he spent almost 20 years working in the IT industry as a consultant and trainer. His research interests focus on computer audition, in particular automatic music transcription and melody extraction.

Zhiyao Duan (S’09, M’13), is an assistant professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at University of Rochester. He received his B.S. and M.S. in Automation from Tsinghua University, China, in 2004 and 2008, respectively, and received his Ph.D. in Computer Science from Northwestern University in 2013. His research interest is in the broad area of computer audition, i.e. designing computational systems that are capable of analyzing and processing sounds, including music, speech, and environmental sounds. Specific problems that he has been working on include automatic music transcription, multi-pitch analysis, music audio-score alignment, sound source separation, and speech enhancement.
Brendt Wohlberg received the BSc(Hons) degree in applied mathematics, and the MSc(Applied Science) and PhD degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Cape Town, South Africa, in 1990, 1993 and 1996 respectively. He is currently a staff scientist in Theoretical Division at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM. His primary research interest is in signal and image processing inverse problems, with an emphasis on sparse representations and exemplar-based methods. He was an associate editor for IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING from 2010 to 2014, and is currently chair of the Computational Imaging Special Interest Group of the IEEE Signal Processing Society and an associate editor for IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTATIONAL IMAGING.